

CLAIMS DURING PATENT INTERFERENCE A US THE ULTIMATE DETERMINATION MAY DEPEND ON PROSECUTION

Accordingly, Applicant now responds that with regard the species shown in Figures 13-16, termed as embodiment (iii), the following claims can be said to generally correspond thereto: 14, 15, 17, 18, 20-22, and 26-41.

Claims 16, 19 and 23-25 recite among other things, the element of a stabilizer arm, and more closely correspond to the species shown in Figures 10 - 11, termed as Group II, but embodiment (ii).

Respectfully submitted,

MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A.
Attorneys for Applicant
2800 S.W. Third Avenue
Historic Coral Way
Miami, Florida 33129
(305) 858-8000

By: 

Jennie S. Malloy
Reg. No. 37,670